

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 351 of 2020**

Narendra Kumar Sahu, S/o. Late Shri Niranjan Lal Sahu, Aged About 47 Years, R/o. Village Sambalpur, Post Sakri, Police Station Chakarbhata, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Agriculture, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Managing Director, Chhattisgarh Rajya Beej Evam Krishi Vikas Nigam, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Processing In-Charge, Department Of Chhattisgarh Rajya Beej Evam Krishi Vikas Nigam Limited, Beej Prakriya Kendra, Sendri, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. S.P.Kale with Mr. K.P.S.Gandhi, Advocates
For State	:	Mr. Soumya Rai, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****16.01.2020**

1. The relief sought for by the Petitioner in the present writ petition is for an appropriate direction to the Respondents to consider the case of the Petitioner for regularization.
2. According to the Petitioner, she has been working with the Respondents as a daily wage employee since 1991 onwards, as such she has put in about more than 28 years of service as a daily wage employee.
3. According to the Petitioner, in view of the circular dated 5.3.2008 and the subsequent circulars issued in respect of regularization, the Respondents ought to have considered the claim of the Petitioner also for regularization, but till date the Petitioner's claim has not been

finalized or considered by the department. The Petitioner further submits that there are also sanctioned vacant posts available with the department against which the Petitioner could have been regularized.

4. Given the aforesaid factual matrix of the case, this Court is of the opinion that no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the petition pending, rather ends of justice would meet if the writ petition itself is disposed of with a direction to Respondents No. 2 & 3 to take a decision so far as the regularization of the Petitioner is concerned at the earliest and thereafter an appropriate order be passed in this regard.
5. Accordingly, the present Writ Petition stands disposed of with a direction to Respondents No. 2 & 3 to consider and decide the claim of the Petitioner in the light of the circular of the State Government dated 5.3.2008 and the subsequent circulars in this regard and also the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "Narendra Kumar Tiwari & Ors. v. State of Jharkhand & Ors." (2018) 8 SCC 238.
6. Let an appropriate decision be taken by the Respondents at the earliest preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.
7. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge