

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCC No. 55 of 2020**

1. Abhishek Agrawal S/o Late Shri Kailash Narayan Agrawal Aged About 25 Years Resident of- Asha Kailash Sadan, In Front Jaggannath Temple, Gayatri Nagar, Pin- 492007, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Power of Attorney Holder For All The Applicants/appellants In First Appeal No. 137/2010 And Son Of Appellant No. 2 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Neeta Agrawal W/o Late Omprakash Agrawal Aged About 59 Years Resident of- Asha Kailash Sadan, In Front Jaggannath Temple, Gayatri Nagar, Pin- 492007, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Legal Heir of Appellant No. 1 In F.A. No. 137/2010, Mistakenly Referred To As Neewta Agrawal In The Order Sheet), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Anand Agrawal S/o Late Omprakash Agrawal Aged About 34 Years Resident of- Asha Kailash Sadan, In Front Jaggannath Temple, Gayatri Nagar, Pin- 492007, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Legal Heir of Appellant No. 1 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Ritu Singhal W/o Anurag Singhal Aged About 38 Years C/o Kailash Narayan Agrawal, Resident of- Asha Kailash Sadan, In Front Jaggannath Temple, Gayatri Nagar, Pin- 492007, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Legal Heir of Appellant No. 1 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Megha Singhal W/o Pulkit Singhal Aged About 37 Years Resident of- Asha Kailash Sadan, In Front Jaggannath Temple, Gayatri Nagar, Pin- 492007, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Legal Heir of Appellant No. 1 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Applicants**Versus**

1. Sunderlal Makhija S/o Late Gaagandas Makhija Resident of- Neharpara, Raipur Chhattisgarh (Respondent No. 1, F.A. No. 137 Of 2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Milauram Sahu S/o Late Gopal Sahu Aged About 65 Years Resident of- Domartarai, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Respondent No. 2.1 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Milan Sahu S/o Late Gopal Sahu Aged About 60 Years Resident of- Domartarai, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Respondent No. 2.2 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Milap Sahu S/o Late Gopal Sahu Aged About 57 Years Resident of- Domartarai, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Respondent No. 2.3 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

5. Kripal Sahu S/o Late Gopal Sahu Aged About 55 Years Resident of- Domartarai, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Respondent No. 2.4 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
 6. Gokul Sahu S/o Late Gopal Sahu Aged About 53 Years Resident of- Domartarai, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Respondent No. 2.5 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
 7. Kanhaiya Sahu S/o Late Gopal Sahu Aged About 50 Years Resident of- Domartarai, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Respondent No. 2.6 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
 8. Manglu Sahu S/o Late Gopal Sahu Aged About 47 Years Resident of- Domartarai, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Respondent No. 2.7 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
 9. State of Chhattisgarh Through- The Collector Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Respondent No. 3 In F.A. No. 137/2010), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- Respondents**

For Applicants : Mrs. Mala Dubey, Advocate
 For State/Respondent No.9 : Mr. D.K. Tiwari, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Ram Prasanna Sharma

Order on Board

03.02.2020

1. This is an application for refund of Court fee pertaining to National Lok Adalat award vide order dated 10.02.2018 passed in F.A. No. 137 of 2010.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits as per Section 21 of the Legal Service Authority Act, 1987, every award of the Lok Adalat shall be deemed to be a decree of a civil court or, as the case may be, an order of any other court and where a compromise or settlement has been arrived at by a Lok Adalat in a case referred to it under sub-section (1) of section 20, the court-fee paid in such case shall be refunded in the manner

provided under the Court fees Act, 1870 and as per Section 16 of Court Fees Act, 1870.

3. In view of the above, the applicants are entitled for returning of Court fees after submission of the award before the Collector, Raipur.
4. With the above observation, the instant MCC stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Ram Prasanna Sharma)
JUDGE