

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WP(S) No.516 of 2020**

- Sandeep Kumar Tandon S/o Shri Vijay Tandon Aged About 33 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) And Posted At Government Higher Secondary School Anda, Block Pathariya, District : Mungeli, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary Department Of Panchayat, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Director Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Mungeli, District : Mungeli, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate

For Respondent/ State : Mr. Neeraj Pradhan, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****23.01.2020**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the instant case in the writ petition is non acceptance of the past services rendered by the petitioner as Teacher Panchayat by the respondents for the purpose of absorption in the Education Department.
2. The case of the petitioner is that initially the petitioner was appointed in the Panchayat Department as Shiksha Karmi Grade – II in the year 2011. Subsequently in another recruitment process petitioner again

got selected as Shiksha Karmi Grade- I on 11.11.2016.

3. Grievance of the petitioner now is that though the department has accepted the past services of the petitioner for the purpose of revised pay scale but for the purpose of the counting total length of service for absorption in the Education Department the past services is not being considered.
4. The reason which has been informed to the petitioner is that the petitioner has not obtained NOC from the Panchayat Department while applying for appointment in Nagri Nikay and another reason for not considering was that there is change in the department of the petitioner for the purpose of counting two services.
5. So far as the non obtaining of NOC is concerned, the said aspect already stands decided by this Court in the case of **Mukesh Patel Vs. State of Chhattisgarh in WPS No. 2530/2017** decided on 28/11/2017 and so far as the change of department is concerned that issue also stands decided by this Court in the case of **Shabnam Khatun Vs. State of Chhattisgarh in WPS No. 6147/2018** and other connected writ petitions decided on 27/10/2018.
6. Given the aforesaid facts and judgments decided by this Court no strong reasons have been made out by the department as to why the services rendered by the petitioner in the Panchayat Department would not be acceptable for the purpose of counting his total length of service so far as the claim for absorption is concerned. Once when the department has already accepted the past services for the purpose of revised pay scale there does not seem to be any good reason for not applying the same analogy for determining the issue of absorption.

7. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, let petitioner's case be scrutinized by the respondent No. 1 & 2 and an appropriate order be passed deciding as to why the past services of the petitioner cannot be counted for the purpose of absorption, when the department itself has counted the said period for the purpose of grant of revised pay scale.
8. Let appropriate order be passed by the respondent No. 1 & 2 within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order after thorough verification of the individual details of the petitioner. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise respondent No. 1 & 2 so far as order passed by this Court is concerned. The petitioner would also be at liberty to file fresh representation if he so wants.
9. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge

yasmin