

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 120 of 2020**

- Vandana D/o B.L. Banjare Aged About 31 Years Working As Lecturer Nagariya Nikay, Govt. High School, Ahiwara, Block, Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Cum Director Directorate Of Panchayat, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer Jila Panchayat Durg, District Durg Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Municipal Officer, Durg, District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Harish Khuntiya, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Shri Kapil Maini, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****09/01/2020**

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.

2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Panchayat department and subsequently the petitioner appeared in the recruitment process of Shiksha Karmi Grade-I conducted by the Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar

Panchayat, Ahiwara, District Durg and she was selected and appointed by order dated 11.01.2014 and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore she is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.

3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)

4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of "Shabnum Khatun" (supra).

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors).

6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge

Ashu