IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 10030 of 2020

Binod Kumar Singh … Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand … Opposite Party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sunil Kumar , Adv.
For the State : Mr. S.P. Jha , Addl. P.P.

02 / 17.12.2020 Heard the parties through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner personally undertakes to remove the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within two weeks after the lockdown is over.

In view of the personal undertaking given by learned counsel for the petitioner the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter are ignored for the present.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Pirtand P.S case no. 46 of 2012 instituted under sections 121(A), 124 (A), 147, 148, 149, 307, 109, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code, section 27 of Arms Act, section 17 of CLA Act, section 13 of UAP Act.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was a member of unlawful assembly and attacked the police party. It is then submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been in jail custody since 17.08.2020 as mentioned in paragraph 11 of the bail application and the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case hence, the petitioner may be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of the case, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Giridih in

connection with Pirtand P.S case no. 46 of 2012 subject to the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case.

(**ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)**

Smita/-