IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 9711 of 2020

Deepak Kumar Jha … Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand ⋯ Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anil Kumar, Sr. Advocate
For the State : Mr. S. Sinha, P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. Suraj Singh, Advocate

Order No.02 Dated- 14.12.2020

Heard the parties through video conferencing.

Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner personally undertakes to remove the defects as pointed out by the stamp reporter within two weeks after the lockdown period is over.

In view of the personal undertaking of the learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner, the defects pointed out by the stamp reporter are ignored for the present.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Dhansar P.S. Case No.134 of 2019 registered under sections 406/420/467/468/471/379/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner has cheated the informant and others of Rs.88,00,000/-. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and drawing attention of this Court to page no.129 of the brief, it is submitted that the petitioner first instituted a complaint vide complaint case no.3359 of 2019 which upon being forwarded to police under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C., Dhanbad P.S. Case No.359 of 2019 was registered and as a counter blast the complainant after fabricating a document purporting to be a bond executed by the petitioner, a copy of which has been kept at page no.47 of the brief, has foisted this false case. It is next submitted that the petitioner has categorically stated that his signature is not appearing in the said page no.47 and that the

same is a forged document. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 24.08.2020 as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 14 of the bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. and the learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Dhanbad, in connection with Dhansar P.S. Case No.134 of 2019 with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Sonu-Gunjan/