IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.9401 of 2020

Surendra Yadav … Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Babli Devi ⋯ Opposite Party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anjani Kr. Singh, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Sanat Kumar Jha, Addl. P.P.

02/10.12.2020 Heard the parties through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the defects pointed out by the stamp reporter within two weeks after the lockdown is over.

In view of personal undertaking given by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the defects pointed out by the stamp reporter are ignored for the present.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Complaint Case No. 944 of 2017 (T.R. No. 3581 of 2017) registered under sections 323/498A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner being the husband of the victim has treated the victim with cruelty. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 10.02.2020 as mentioned in paragraph 05 of the bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts of this case, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Giridih in connection with Complaint Case No. 944 of 2017 (T.R. No. 3581 of 2017).

Pappu/ **(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)**