IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 8713 of 2020

Janak Mahto ... ... **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand ... ... **Opposite Party**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. A. K. Chaturvedy, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, A.P.P.

02/11.11.2020 So far as the defect nos. 4, 5(e) and 9(i) are concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the same once the situation normalizes. As regards the rest defects are concerned, the same are ignored.

Heard Mr. A. K. Chaturvedy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is an accused in connection with Ghaghra P.S. Case No. 89 of 1991, corresponding to Spt. G. R. No. 740 of 1991, Spt. S. T. Case No. 51 of 2002.

The is a case of misuse of privilege of bail.

The petitioner was earlier granted bail but on account of non-appearance his bail bond was cancelled on 21.10.2011. The petitioner appears to be in custody since 24.10.2018.

Regard being had to the period of custody, the petitioner, named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge – II, Gumla, in connection with Ghaghra P.S. Case No. 89 of 1991, corresponding to Spt. G. R. No. 740 of 1991, Spt. S. T. Case No. 51 of 2002.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

Umesh/-