IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 8057 of 2020

Ramesh Kumar @ Ramesh Kumar Singh … … … Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand … … Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. A.K. Chaturvedi, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. V.S. Sahay, A.P.P.

Through Video Conferencing

4/11.12.2020

1. Heard Mr. A.K. Chaturvedi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.
2. Heard Mr. V.S. Sahay, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party-State.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 18.04.2019 in connection with Barkakana Rail P.S. Case No. 15 of 2016 corresponding to G.R. No. 619 of 2016, (S.T. No. 144 of 2019) registered under Sections 395,397 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act, now pending in the court of learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Latehar.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the bail application of the petitioner was earlier rejected by this court vide order dated 06.09.2019 in B.A. No. 5684 of 2019. Learned counsel also submits that some of the co-accused have been enlarged on bail by co-ordinate Benches of this court.
5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party on the other hand has opposed the prayer and has submitted that bail application of the petitioner was rejected on merits vide order dated 06.09.2019 on the fact that the SIM in the name of the petitioner was used in the looted mobile after the date of incident.
6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering

the fact that bail application of the petitioner was earlier rejected on merits vide order dated 06.09.2019 in B.A. No. 5684 of 2019, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. Let this order be communicated to the court concerned through FAX/e-mail.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Binit/