

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S) No. 5425 of 2017

Mundrika Prasad Singh Petitioner

Versus

1. Union of India through the Director General, Railway Protection Force under Ministry of Railway, New Delhi.
2. The Inspector General cum Chief Security Commissioner, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Railway, Kolkata.
3. The Chief Security Officer, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Railway, Kolkata.
4. The Security Officer, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Railway, Chakradharpur, West Singhbhum.

... .. Respondents

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioner : Mr. Akshay Kr. Mahato, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Gautam Rakesh, Advocate

Order No.08: Dated: 3rd February, 2020

Per Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.:

1. The petitioner confines his prayer to non-payment of leave encashment, gratuity as well as provident fund and group insurance.
2. The respondents in the counter affidavit have stated that till date the petitioner has not approached the respondent authorities for his dues and also earlier as the petitioner had retained the quarter and as such the amount was not disbursed. However, it is specific claim of the petitioner that already no objection has been given by the respondent-authorities and there is no any due lying against the petitioner.
3. The petitioner is directed to approach the respondent authorities within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. If the petitioner approaches the respondent authorities, entire amount shall be disbursed to the petitioner in the head of provident fund, group insurance, and leave encashment within a period of six weeks thereafter. Needless to say that if there

is no legal impediment, the same shall be disbursed to the petitioner. The payment of interest shall also be considered in accordance with law.

4. In view of the above observation and direction, the writ petitions stands disposed of.

(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)

MM