

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction

ORIGINAL SIDE

WPO 43 of 2019

PIJUSH KUMAR SEN

Versus

KOLKATA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION & ORS.

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE ARINDAM SINHA

Date : 15th December, 2020

Mr. Raghunath Chakraborty, Adv.
..for petitioner
Mr. Ranajit Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Dipankar Ghosh, Adv.
... KMC

The Court: Mr. Chakraborty, learned advocate appears on behalf of petitioner and submits, his client wants the Corporation to recover property tax, interest and penalty from the tenant and occupier being private respondent no.7. He relies on sections 178, 192 and 230 in Kolkata Municipal Corporation Act to submit, the Corporation should recover separately from private respondent no.7. Mr. Chatterjee, learned advocate appears on behalf of the Corporation and submits, there has been apportionment. He draws attention to section 231 and submits, there lies petitioner's remedy.

On record of submissions as above, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Arindam Sinha, J.)