

220 21.12.2020.
jks
Ct.
15

WPA 11082 of 2020

Sudha Bhandari
Vs
The State West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Dipa Bhattacharya,
... For the Petitioner.
Mrs. Nafisa Begum,
...For the State.

No one appears for the State when the matter is called on for hearing.

Affidavit of service filed in Court today is kept with the record.

The material facts of the case are admitted and hence I have not called for affidavits.

The petitioner's husband was a Head Teacher of a Primary School and he died in on 22.03.1997. The first pension payment order was issued on 15.09.1998. Under the ROPA Rules, 1998 there was revision of the pensionary and gratuity amount payable to the petitioner. The revised pension payment order was issued on 31.03.2002 and the arrear pension was disbursed on 21.10.2004 in terms of ROPA, 1998. The petitioner claims interest on delayed payment of the revised arrear pension.

I have heard learned counsel for both parties and I have considered the orders passed by this court in similar facts. It is settled law that retired employee is entitled to some amount of interest on delayed payment of revised arrear pension.

There is a considerable delay in filing of the writ petition, which the petitioner seeks to justify by stating that there is no statutory period of limitation and neither of the parties has suffered due to this delay. It is the submission of the petitioner that accordingly the petition should be allowed. The petitioner relies upon an order in **W.P. No. 6649 (W) of 2019 (Padma Nath Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.)** wherein a co-ordinate Bench had relied upon the Supreme Court judgement in the case of **Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh**, reported in **(2008) 8 S.C.C. 648** on the issue of limitation relating to payment or re-fixation of pay or pension wherein the Apex Court had held that relief may be granted in spite of delay as it does not affect the rights of the third party.

In view of the aforesaid, I direct the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal as also the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the writ petitioner @8% per annum on the revised arrear pension calculated from 01.04.1998 till the date of actual payment.

Such payment is to be made within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

Since no affidavits have been invited, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

The writ petition is disposed of, however, no

order as to costs.

The parties shall act in terms of the copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)