

10.12.2020.
79
as
(Rejected)

**C.R.M. 10171 of 2020
(Via Video Conference)**

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Dubrajpur P.S. Case No.140 of 2019 dated 20.06.2019 under Sections 147/148/149/326/307 of the Indian Penal Code and tions 3 / 4 of the Explosive Substance Act.

In the matter of : Sk. Nur Alam & Ors.

... Petitioners.

Mr. S. Das Mahapatra.

...for the Petitioners.

Mr. P. K. Datta, Id. A.P.P.,

Mr. Santanu Deb Roy.

.....for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they have been falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

We have noted the statements of witnesses as well as the injury report. Role of the petitioners is clearly disclosed in the said statements. Victim has suffered bomb blast injury.

In view of the gravity of the offence and the prima facie involvement of the petitioners, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

