

09.12.2020.

83.

as

(Allowed).

C.R.M. 10032 of 2020

(Via Video Conference)

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Rampurhat P. S. Case No.233 of 2020 dated 16.07.2020 under Sections 498A/304B/302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 / 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

In the matter of : Shushma Let @ Susoma Let.

... Petitioner.

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherjee, Id. P.P.,

Ms. Faria Hossain,

Ms. Baisali Basu.

.....for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that she is the mother-in-law of the victim housewife and has been falsely implicated in the instant case. Principal accused i.e. the husband is enlarged on regular bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

We have considered the materials on record. Statement of witnesses discloses general and omnibus nature of allegations against the petitioner.

In view of the extent of complicity of the petitioner in the alleged crime and as the principal accused i.e. the husband is

enlarged on regular bail, we are inclined in granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest the petitioner, viz., Shushma Let @ Susoma Let shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer and subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

This application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Suvra Ghosh,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)