

09.12.2020.
74
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. 10013 of 2020

(Via Video Conference)

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Kharagpur Town P.S. Case No.461 of 2020 dated 02.11.2020 under Sections 365/323/354/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Manik Raj & Anr.

... Petitioners.

Mr. Kusal Kr. Mukherjee.

...for the Petitioners.

Mr. Ranabir Roy Chowdhury,
Mr. Sandip Chakraborty.

.....for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the victim (a married man) had eloped with his daughter.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the victim is yet to be recovered.

We have considered the statements of the witnesses recorded during investigation. They disclose that there was an altercation between the petitioner and the victim prior to his disappearance. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for recovery of the victim.

In view of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)