

18.12.2020.

118.

as

(Allowed).

C.R.M. 9689 of 2020

(Via Video Conference)

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Harirampur P. S. Case No.61 of 2020 dated 12.04.2020 under Sections 450/376/511/323 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Manirul Haque (35 years).

... Petitioner.

Ms. Jeenia Rudra.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. P. K. Datta, Id. A.P.P.,

Mr. Pradipta Ganguly.

.....for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the victim was a consenting party. Since the affair was unravelled, petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

We have considered the materials on record in the light of the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the petitioner.

Under such circumstances, we are inclined in granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest the petitioner shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer and subject to the

conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

This application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Suvra Ghosh,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)