

18.12.2020.
114.
as
(Rejected).

C.R.M. 9682 of 2020

(Via Video Conference)

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Dalkhola P. S. Case No.241 of 2020 dated 12.10.2020 under Sections 20(b) of the N. D. P. S. Act.

In the matter of : Shanti Das. ... Petitioner.

Dr. Jyotirmoy Adhikary,
Mr. M. Ghosh.
...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sanjoy Bardhan,
Mr. Sanjoy Bardhan,
Ms. Manisha Sharma.
.....for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of that he is not the owner of the vehicle.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

We have considered the materials on record. We note that the petitioner is the registered owner of the vehicle which was used for transportation of narcotic substance above commercial quantity.

In view of the aforesaid facts, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary and this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Suvra Ghosh,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)