

02.12.2020
Court No.3
SL No.78
AP

CRM 9624 of 2020
(Through Video Conference)

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Kalchini** P.S. Case No.**120 of 2020** dated **01.09.2020** under Sections **305/34** of the Indian Penal code with adding Section **3(1)(x)** of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

And

In the matter of: **Partha Pratim Dutta @ Nantu**

....Petitioner.

Mr. Arijit Ghosh,
Mr. Partha Ghosh

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty

...for the State.

In view of Section 18, 18A of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989, the instant application for anticipatory bail is not maintainable. That apart, there are materials to show that the petitioner had cohabited with a minor and thereafter had refused to marry her. Due to such instigation, she committed suicide. Hence, on merits also we feel that this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

The parties shall act in terms of the copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this court.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)