

11.12.2020

46

sdas

rejected

C.R.M. 9455 of 2020

(via video conferencing)

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Jangipara Police Station Case No. 148 of 2020 dated 15.07.2020 under Sections 498A/406/304B/302/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

And

In Re : Balai Dhaure & Anr. petitioners

Mr. Navanil De

Mr. Rajeshwar Chakraborty

... for the petitioners

Mr. Bidyut Kumar Roy

Ms. Rita Datta

... for the State

It is submitted by the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners that they are parents-in-law of the victim housewife. It is also submitted that they have been falsely implicated in the instant case. They reside separately and investigation is complete.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioners tortured the housewife who committed suicide within one month of marriage.

Statements of the witnesses show that the petitioners actively participated in the torture of the housewife who unfortunately ended her life within one month of her marriage. In view of the gravity of the offence, we are of the opinion that this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)