

27.11.2020
SL No.4
pk

CRM 9425 of 2020

(Through Video Conference)

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Krishnagar Kotwali Police Station Case no. 378 of 2020 dated 15.06.2020 under Sections 326/307 of the Indian Penal Code and adding Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of: **Pravati Roy @ Parbati Roy**

....Petitioner.

Mr. Prabir Majumder

...for the Petitioner.

Mrs. Zareen N. Khan,
Mr. Mirza Firoj Ahmed Begg

...for the State.

The advocate-on-record for the petitioner undertakes to affirm and properly stamp the petition as per the rules within one month of resumption of normal functioning of the court. The petition is taken up through video-conference on the basis of such undertaking.

Mr. Majumder, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is no way connected with the present case. She is an innocent one. She has been falsely implicated in the present case. Therefore, the petitioner should be released on bail as 165 days of custody already suffered by the petitioner.

Mrs. Khan, Learned counsel for the State, opposes the prayer for bail. She submits that the petitioner is directly involved in the incident. She further contends that the lady took the baby from the lap of the grandmother. Therefore, it cannot be suggested that the

petitioner is innocent one as has been projected by Mr. Majumder, learned counsel for the petitioner. In support of her contentions, she produces the case diary and draws the court's attention to the medical report.

Considering the materials available in the case diary as well as the medical report, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the application for bail being CRM 9425 of 2020 is rejected.

All parties are to act on a website copy of this order on the usual undertaking.

(Samapti Chatterjee, J.)

(Kausik Chanda, J.)