

19.11.2020

CRM 8669 of 2020

Court No. 16
Item No. 58
nandy/biswas

(Via Video Conference)

(Anti-Bail - Rejected)

In Re: An application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 14.10.2020 in connection with Samserganj Police Station Case No. 100 of 2020 dated 29.06.2020 under Sections 498A/302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act. (G.R. Case No. 1048 of 2020)

and

In the matter of: **Meghu Ghosh @ Meghnath & Ors.**

..... Petitioners

Mr. Mr. Asif Dewan, Advocate

.....for the Petitioners

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherjee, Ld. Public Prosecutor

Mr. Aniket Mitra, Advocate

.....for the State

The petitioners undertake to affirm and stamp the petition as per the Rules within one week of resumption of the normal functioning of the court. The petition is taken up through video conference on the basis of such undertaking.

The petitioners claim that since the chargesheet has been submitted, no useful purpose would be served in detaining the petitioners any further.

The State refers to the statement of the son of the victim. In the relevant statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the minor son of the deceased victim claimed that he saw the petitioners beating his mother. However, such boy claimed in his statement under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure that several persons set his mother on fire, including the petitioners herein.

In the light of such material against the petitioners, the

prayer for anticipatory bail is declined.

CRM 8669 of 2020 is disposed of.

(Sanjib Banerjee, J.)

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)