

20.11.2020

CRM 8545 of 2020

Court No. 16
Item No. 34
nandy/biswas

(Via Video Conference)

(Anti-Bail – Allowed)

In Re: An application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 12.10.2020 in connection with Mekhliganj Police Station Case No. 250 of 2020 dated 08.08.2020 under Sections 341/323/325/307/34 of the Indian Penal Code. (G.R. Case No. 379 of 2020)

and

In the matter of: Mahabul Haque @ Mahabul Hak @ Mahabul Alam & Ors.
..... Petitioners

Ms. Jeenia Rudra, Advocate

Mr. Anirban Banerjee, Advocate

.....for the Petitioners

Mr. Nilay Chakraborty, Advocate

Mr. Aniruddha Biswas, Advocate

.....for the State

The petitioners undertake to affirm and stamp the petition as per the Rules within one week of resumption of the normal functioning of the court. The petition is taken up through video conference on the basis of such undertaking.

The petitioners claim that a matrimonial dispute grew out of hand and other relatives joined in, resulting in several persons receiving injuries.

The State says that the incident was serious and bleeding injuries were suffered.

Despite the State's assertion, there does not appear to be any serious injury.

Considering the nature of the incident and the extent of injuries, the petitioners are entitled to anticipatory bail.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners will be enlarged on bail on furnishing security of Rs.10,000 (Rs. Ten thousand only) each,

with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. The petitioners should abide by the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

CRM 8545 of 2020 is are disposed of.

(Sanjib Banerjee, J.)

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)