

19.11.2020
SI. No.49
akd
[Rejected]

C. R. M. 8534 of 2020 [via video conferencing]

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 13.10.2020 in connection with Raiganj Police Station Case No. 442 of 2020 dated 14.06.2020 under Sections 341/304/34 of the Indian Penal Code. (G.R. Case No.908 of 2020)

And

In Re: **Lakshmi Saha**

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Kaushik Choudhury .. Advocate

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. P. K. Datta .. Advocate

Mr. Pradipta Ganguly .. Advocate

... .. for the State

Petitioner undertakes to affirm and stamp the petition/application as per Rules within a month of resumption of normal functioning of the court. Subject to such undertaking, the application is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Having considered the materials on record disclosing prima facie involvement of the petitioner and other accused persons in assaulting the victim as appearing from the statement of witnesses, we are of the opinion that in view of the gravity of the offence this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to her.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)