

20/10/2020

Item No.38

AB

Through Video Conference

CRM 8050 of 2020

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Kankartala P.S. Case No.55 of 2018 dated 10.11.2018 under Sections 147/148/149/325/354/307/506 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3 /4 of the Explosive Substances Act

Sekh Madhu @ Md. Zinna & Ors.

Vs

The State of West Bengal

Mr. Kunal Ganguly ...for the Petitioners.

Mr. Prasun Kr. Datta,
Mr. Pradipta Ganguly ...for the State.

The petitioners undertake to affirm and stamp the petition/application as per the Rules within one month of resumption of normal functioning of the Court. The petition is taken up through video conference on the basis of such undertaking.

Having considered the statement of the witnesses recorded under Section 161 of Cr.P.C. and also the materials formed part of the case diary wherefrom involvement of the petitioner in causing hurt to the victim by hurling bomb prima facie transpires, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is, thus, rejected.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)

(Soumen Sen, J.)

