

16-10-2020
k.b./b.r.
Item no.30
rejected
Crt.11

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

C.R.M. No. 7912 of 2020
Tanu Mal @ Tonu Mal & Anr.
-vs-
The State of West Bengal
(Via video conference)

In Re: An application for bail under Section 439 CrPC in connection with Session Case No. 53 of 2020 corresponding to General Register Case No. 343 of 2020 arising out of Rampurhat P.S. Case No. 144 of 2020 under Sections 302/201/34 of the IPC 1860.

Mr. Arnab Saha

...for the petitioners.

Mr. N. Ahamed
Mr. Anwar Hossain
Ms. Amita Gaur

... for the State.

The advocate-on-record for the petitioners undertake to affirm and properly stamp the petition as per the rules within one month of resumption of normal functioning of the Court. The petition is taken up through video-conference on the basis of such undertakings.

The petitioners are in custody for more than 100 days.

It is submitted by the learned advocate for the petitioners that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case in consequence of a love relationship with the deceased victim. Learned advocate, Mr. Arnab Saha for the petitioner submits that an extra judicial confession appears to be the foundation behind the implication of the petitioners.

Learned advocate, Ms. Amita Gaur representing the State advertizing to pages 27 and 59 of the Case Diary submits that there are materials showing prima facie involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence.

Having considered the materials already collected in the Case Diary transpiring involvement of the petitioners with alleged offence together with the gravity of the offence, we are not persuaded to grant bail to the petitioner. The prayer for bail is, thus refused.

All concerned parties shall act in terms of the copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

[Subhasis Dasgupta, J.]

[Subrata Talukdar, J.]