

19.10.2020

Item No.27

Ct.No.11

dc.

Rejected

C.R.M. 7900 of 2020

(Through Video Conference)

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Deganga P.S. Case No. 731 of 2019 dated 14.12.2019 under Sections 498A/304B/306/34 of the Indian Penal Code and 3/4 D. P. Act.

And

In the matter of : Ashok Nandi ... Petitioner.

Md. Younush Mondal ... For the Petitioner.

Ms. Sukanya Bhattacharya ... For the State.

Petitioner undertakes to affirm and stamp the petition/application as per Rules within a month of resumption of normal functioning of the Court. Subject to such undertaking, the application is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the father-in-law of the deceased. He is in no way connected with the offence. Petitioner's son who is the husband of the deceased is already in custody. Therefore, the petitioner should be granted anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and produces the case diary and submits that the petitioner's role to compel the deceased to commit suicide due to demand of dowry cannot be ruled out.

Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the learned advocates appearing for the parties and after perusing the case diary, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

CRM 7900 of 2020 is disposed of.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)

(Samapti Chatterjee, J.)