

17-11-2020

Court 12

C.R.M. 7893 of 2020**(Via Video Conference)**

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Suti Police Station Case No. 225 of 2020 dated 01.06.2020 under Sections 448/325/326/307/354/379/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Noba @ Nobirul Islam @ Seikh Nabir

Versus

State of West Bengal

Mrs. Sonali Das, Adv.

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanmoy Kr. Ghosh, Adv.

Mr. Arindam Sen, Adv.

...for the State.

The petitioner undertakes to affirm and stamp the petition/application as per the Rules within one month of resumption of normal functioning of the Court. The petition is taken up through video conference on the basis of such undertaking.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been instituted against the petitioner.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State, however, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Considering the materials produced along with the case diary and the statement of the witnesses recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure showing prima facie involvement of the petitioner in the alleged commission of offence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Soumen Sen, J.)