

In the matter of:- Paramjyoti Kundu & Ors.

...petitioners

Mr. Chirantan Sarkar,
Ms. Rupoma Bhattacharjee.
...for the petitioners.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly
...for the State.

This is an application seeking an expeditious disposal of a proceeding under Sections 406, 498A read with Section 34 of the Penal Code.

Let a copy of this application be served upon Mr. Arijit Ganguly, learned Advocate who is present in Court today and who ordinarily appears on behalf of the State. His engagement may be regularised by the competent authority of the State in due course.

Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. Although the First Information Report was lodged in 2014, till date the proceeding could not be concluded. Evidence started only in 2018. The present proceeding has remained pending for no fault on the part of the present petitioners who are the accused in this case.

I have heard the submissions of the learned Counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioners and the State and have perused the revision petition.

No prejudice will be caused to anyone, if an order is passed directing an expeditious disposal of the proceeding.

It appears that there has been some delay in concluding the impugned proceeding.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the learned

Trial Court is requested to conclude the proceeding as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournment to any of the parties, preferably within a period of one year from the resumption of normal functioning of the Court.

With these observations, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)