

CRM 7824 of 2020

In Re: An application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 01.10.2020 in connection with Hariharpara Police Station Case No. 320 of 2019 dated 13.07.2019 under Sections 341/302/120B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25/27/35 of the Arms Act/ (G. R. Case No.2805 of 2019).

Sahin Sk @ Sahin Mondal @ Sahin Mandal
Vs.
The State of W. B.

Mr. Anisur Rahman
.....For the Petitioner

Mr. Binay Kumar Panda
Ms. Puspita Saha
.....For the State

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

The learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

It is submitted that involvement of the petitioner is established, which is revealed from the statements of the co-accused recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Considering the materials on record and the statements of the arrested persons, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)

(Soumen Sen, J.)

