

**CRM 7788 of 2020
(Via Video Conference)**

In re : An application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bagdah P.S. Case No. 178 of 2020 dated 09.02.2020 under Sections 376/511/354/325/427/506/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : **Barun Biswas**

..... petitioner

Mr. Nirmal Kanti Mukherjee

.....for the petitioner

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty

..... for the State

The petitioner undertakes to affirm and stamp the petition/application as per Rules within one month of normal functioning of the Court. Subject to such undertaking the application is taken up for hearing.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the aforementioned case has been initiated out of a dispute in the village.

It is submitted that the petitioner was not involved in the offence complained of. It is further submitted that the victim had refused medical examination. Thus, the petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

It is submitted on behalf of the learned Advocate for the State that this is not a fit case for granting anticipatory bail and relies upon the case diary.

In view of the statement of the victim under Section 164 Cr.P.C. and upon considering the materials on record we do not think that this is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Shampa Sarkar,J.)

(Harish Tandon, J.)