

16.12.2020
Court No.28
SL No.109
AP

CRM 7727 of 2020
(Through Video Conference)

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Bhatpara** P.S. Case No.**349 of 2020** dated **13.09.2020** under Sections **201/204/403/406/409/424** of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of: **Sri Sagar Sengupta**

....Petitioner.

Mr. Husen Mustafi

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Nguive Ahmed, Ld. A.P.P.,
Ms. Manisha Sharma

...for the State.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he was not in control of the peon book, which was illegally removed and partially destroyed.

Learned lawyer for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the complicity of the petitioner transpired from the C.C.T.V. Footage, which was seized in the course of investigation.

There are materials connecting the petitioner with destruction of public records. The petitioner is a public servant. In view of the gravity of the offence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

The parties shall act in terms of the copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this court.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)