

18 06.10.2020
rkd Ct. no.12
(Allowed)

C.R.M. 7614 of 2020
(Through Video Conference)

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Sainthai** P.S. Case No. **90 of 2020** dated **15/06/2020** under Sections **363/376/120B** of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections **4/6/8** of the POCSO Act.

And

In the matter of: Ramjan Sk.

....petitioner.

Mr. S. Datta

...for the petitioner.

Mr. R. Mukherjee,
Mr. S. Chatterjee

...for the State.

Petitioner undertakes to affirm and stamp the petition/application as per Rules within a month of resumption of normal functioning of the Court. Subject to such undertaking, the application is taken up for hearing through video conference.

Petitioner is in custody for 102 days. It is further submitted that there was a love affair between the parties.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Having considered the materials in the case diary and bearing in mind the nature of allegations in the light of the submission made on behalf of the petitioner and the period of detention suffered by the petitioner and as investigation is complete, we are inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail upon furnishing a Bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Learned Judge, Special Court, 2nd Court under POCSO

Act, Suri, Birbhum subject to the condition that during bail he shall appear before the learned trial court regularly and he shall not intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever.

In the event the petitioner fails to comply with the conditions as enshrined hereinbefore, it is open to the trial court to cancel the bail without any further reference to this Court.

The application for bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)