

DL. October
16 16, 2020

FMA 924 of 2020
(MAT 596 of 2020)
with
CAN 1 of 2020
and
CAN 2 of 2020

Prodip Nandi
Vs.
The Regional Provident Fund
Commissioner & ors.

Mr. Tapan Bhanja,
...for the appellant.

Mr. Satyendra Agarwal,
...for the respondents.

(Via Video Conference)

The appeal arises out of an interlocutory order of September 15, 2020 passed on a writ petition claiming that only one of the several partners of a partnership firm has been proceeded against by the provident fund authorities and money has been sought to be realised from such partner in recovery proceedings without any previous order of any adjudication being served on such partner.

The writ petition has been entertained, but the Single Bench has permitted the provident fund authorities to proceed against the petitioner in accordance with law. It is such part of the order that the appellant herein is aggrieved by.

It will be open to the appellant to make a prayer before the Single Bench that the entire money claimed may be deposited, whereupon further coercive measures may not be taken against the appellant herein. On the basis of what is reflected in the impugned

order, the same does not warrant any interference.

FMA 924 of 2020 together with CAN 1 of 2020 and
CAN 2 of 2020 are disposed of without any order as to costs.

(Sanjib Banerjee, J.)

ar/dns

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)