

19.11.2020

CRM 7085 of 2020

Court No. 16  
Item No. 25  
nandy/biswas

(Via Video Conference)

(Anti-Bail - Rejected)

In Re: An application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 15.09.2020 in connection with Raghunathganj Police Station Case No. 244 of 2020 dated 01.06.2020 under Sections 448/324/326/307/427/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of the Explosive Substances Act.

and

In the matter of: **Sujal Sk.**

..... Petitioner

**Mr. Anisur Rahaman, Advocate**

.....for the Petitioner

**Mr. Binay Kumar Panda, Advocate**

**Ms. Puspita Saha, Advocate**

.....for the State

The petitioner claims that there was a minor altercation between two groups and the petitioner is being wrongfully pursued despite the disputes between the two groups having been resolved.

The State says that the petitioner is the third of the three assailants who hurled bombs. The petitioner appears to be absconding from or about June, 2020.

This is not an instance of persons being beaten up following an altercation. Since bombs were hurled, it is evident that it was a pre-meditated attack. In any event, the fact that the petitioner had access to explosives or manufactured the same illegally would also indicate that the petitioner was up to no good.

The prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected, though it will be open for the petitioner to pray for regular bail after surrendering.

CRM 7085 of 2020 is disposed of.

**(Sanjib Banerjee, J.)**

**(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)**

