

28.09.2020
Sl. No.44
akd
[Rejected]

C. R. M. 7081 of 2020 [via video conferencing]
(CRAN 1 of 2020)

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Malda Police Station Case No. 161 of 2020 dated 08.03.2020 under Sections 498A/323/325/308/494/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act. (G.R. Case No.1211 of 2020)

And

In Re: **Gagan Das**

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Mounick Ghosh .. Advocate

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Prasun Kumar Datta .. Ld. Addl. Public Prosecutor

Mr. Nirupam Dhali .. Advocate

... .. for the State

Petitioner undertakes to affirm and stamp the petition/application as per Rules within a month of resumption of normal functioning of the court. Subject to such undertaking, the application is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The application being CRAN 1 of 2020 is accordingly, disposed of.

Having considered the materials on record disclosing prima facie involvement of the petitioner in assaulting his wife which resulted in impaired hearing, we are of the opinion that this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to him.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Kausik Chanda, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

