

24.09.2020

Ct. No. 16

Item no. 10
(REJECTED)

CRM 7012 of 2020

With
CRAN 1 of 2020

akd

In Re:- An application for bail under section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 14.09.2020 in connection with Liluah Police Station Case no. 209 of 2019 dated 25.08.2019 under Sections 498A/326/307 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 / 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act with adding Sections 304B/302 of the Indian Penal Code (Sessions Trial case no. 49 of 2020)

And

In the matter of : **Ranjit @ Ranajit Das.**

...Petitioner

Mr. Dev Kumar Sharma.

...For the Petitioner.

**Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Ld. A.P.P,
Ms. Sayanti Santra.**

...For the State.

Petitioner undertakes to affirm and stamp the petition/ application as per Rules within 48 hours of resumption of normal functioning of the Court. Subject to such undertaking, the application is taken up for hearing through video conference.

The application being **CRAN 01 of 2020** is accordingly **disposed of.**

It is submitted by the learned Advocate for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody for 322 days in connection with the aforesaid case. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has already been filed, but the charges have not been framed as yet. There is no possibility of commencing the trial in the near future.

Learned Advocate appearing the State opposes the prayer for bail and relies upon the dying declaration of the victim and submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioner in setting her ablaze. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed.

Having heard the submissions of the respective Counsels and perused the dying declaration of the victim and considering

the complicity of the petitioner to the alleged offence, we do not feel that it is a fit case, where the petitioner should be released on bail. The prayer for bail is thus rejected.

However, we request the concerned Judge to fix the date of framing of charges, if not already framed, and both the learned Advocates appearing for the parties assured us that they would co-operate and assist the learned Judge in discharging such function.

With such findings, the application, being CRM 7012 of 2020, is dismissed.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J)

(Harish Tandon, J)