

21.9.2020
ks
Rejected.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

C.R.M. No. 6831 of 2020
With
CRAN 1/2020

Krishna Das & Ors.
-vs-
The State of West Bengal

In Re: An application for bail under **Section 438 CrPC** in connection with Banarhat P.S. Case No.45 of 2020 dated 24.2.2020 under sections 498A/376(2)(g)/302/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

Mr. Aninda Ghosh,
Mr. Sudip Guha
...for the petitioners.
Mr. Tapan Bhattacharya,
Mr. Aditi Sankar Chakraborty(Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri)
... for the State

The advocate-on-record for the petitioners undertakes to affirm and properly stamp the petition as per the rules within one month of resumption of normal functioning of the court. The petition is taken up through video-conference on the basis of such undertaking.

The learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the present petitioners are innocent of the charges levelled against them and as such custodial detention of the petitioners is unwarranted.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State (Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri) opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and from the case diary he submits that the statements of the mother as well as the neighbours reflect the name of these petitioners who have preferred the application for anticipatory bail.

Having considered the nature and gravity of offence, we are not inclined to allow the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

As such, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

CRM No.6831 of 2020 and CRAN/1/2020(Old No.4080/ 2020)
are disposed of.

[Tirthankar Ghosh J]

[Samapti Chatterjee, J]