

23.09.2020
Court No.16
Sl. No. 19
GB/SD

CRM 6662 of 2020
CRAN 1 of 2020
(Via Video Conference)

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Jibantala** P.S. Case No.**249** of **2014** dated **03.05.2014** under Sections **148/149/302/34/120B** of the Indian Penal Code and Section **25(1B)(a)/35** of the Arms Act.
And

In the matter of: **Rajjak Molla**

....Petitioner.

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty.

.... for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Banerjee,
Mr. Arani Bhattacharyya.

...for the State.

The petitioner undertakes to affirm and stamp the petition as per the Rules within one week of resumption of normal functioning of the Court. The petition is taken up through video conference on the basis of such undertaking.

The petitioner claims that others standing on the same footing as the petitioner have been granted bail and the petitioner should be extended the same benefit.

The State says that the offending weapons were recovered from the petitioner's residence at the instance of the petitioner. The State says that there is sufficient material to label the petitioner herein as the principal accused.

Though the petitioner insists that weapons have been discovered from the houses of some of the other persons who have been granted bail, the material against this petitioner appears to be quite overwhelming.

Considering such position, the prayer for bail is refused.

CRM 6662 of 2020 and the interim application are disposed of.

(Sanjib Banerjee, J.)

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)