

9.9.2020
ks/sk
Item no.13
Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

C.R.M. No.6323 of 2020

Debasish Ghosh

-vs-

The State of West Bengal

with

CRAN/1/ 2020

In Re: An application for bail under **Section 438 Cr.PC** in connection with Chandrakona P.S. Case No.303 of 2019 dated 25.08.2019 under sections 341/354(B)/506 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

Ms. Chandana Ghosh

Ms. Kanakkiran Bandopadhyay

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanmoy Kr. Ghosh

Ms. Sima Biswas

... for the State.

The advocate-on-record for the petitioner undertakes to affirm and properly stamp the petition as per the rules within one month of resumption of normal functioning of the court. The petition is taken up through video-conference on the basis of such undertaking.

The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated with this case and for the purpose of investigation the custodial detention of the petitioner is unwarranted.

Learned Advocate for the State produces the memorandum of evidence which includes the First Information Report as well as the search and seizure list.

We have taken into account the nature and gravity of the offence, we are not inclined to allow the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

As such the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

CRM 6323 of 2020 and CRAN 1/2020 are disposed of.

[Tirthankar Ghosh, J]

[Samapti Chatterjee, J]

