

03.09.2020

BP
Sl.23

CRM 5239 of 2020
With
CRAN 3541 of 2020

(Via Video Conference)

In re: An application for bail under Section 438
of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

In the matter of : Bhola Ghosh @ Sunil Ghosh
& Orspetitioners

Mr. Avinaba Patra
..for the petitioners.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur
Mr. Subrata Roy
..for the State.

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits
that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in
connection with the case on the basis of the statement
of co-accused. He further submits that since the
investigation is over the detention of the petitioners is
unwarranted.

Learned advocate for the State produces the
memorandum of evidence and opposes the prayer for
anticipatory bail. He further objects regarding the long
absence of the petitioners for approaching the court in
spite of the charge-sheet having been filed.

We have taken into account the materials appearing in the memorandum of evidence and considering the same, we are of the opinion that the petitioners are not entitled to the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

The application for anticipatory bail being C.R.M. 5239 of 2020 and CRAN 3541 of 2020 are disposed of.

(Samapti Chatterjee, J.)

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)