

21.10.2020

Sl. No. 24

Srimanta

IA No. : CRAN/1/2020

in

CRM/5206/2020

(Via Video Conference)

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 in connection with apprehension of arrest of the petitioner in connection with Salar Police Station Case No. 211 of 2019 dated 05.12.2019 as per under Sections 341/325/326/307/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and corresponding to the above criminal matters was pending before the Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Kandi, Murshidabad.

And

In the matter of : Romen Ghosh @ Ramkrishna Ghosh

... Petitioner

Mr. Arindam Ray, Adv.,
Mr. Mirza Firoj Ahmed Begg,
Mrs. Rita Patra, Adv.

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Bidyut Kumar Roy, Adv.,
Ms. Rita Dutta, Adv.

... for the State

Mr. Arindam Ray, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent in nature. The petitioner has been mostly implicated in the present case. Other co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail. Therefore, the petitioner should be granted anticipatory bail.

Mr. Bidyut Kumar Ray, learned Advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and produces the Case Diary. Mr. Ray initially submits that Romen Ghosh @ Ramkrishna Ghosh was granted anticipatory bail but since he failed to comply with the conditions, therefore, his application for bail was rejected and warrant of arrest was issued. Till date he has not surrendered.

That being the scenario, we are in the considered view that the petitioner should not be granted anticipatory bail. Therefore, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

CRAN/1/2020 and CRM/5206/2020 are disposed of.

(Samapti Chatterjee, J.)

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)