

C.O. No. 3128 of 1993

(Via video conference)

**Tanmoy Datta Ray
-Vs.-
Ratan Kumar Dey & Ors.**

As per office report dated July 14, 2021, despite best efforts, an administrative notice could not be served upon the learned advocate for the petitioner due to non-availability of the said learned advocate in the specified address.

Since none appears on behalf of the petitioner at the time when the matter is called on, it is evident that the petitioner is no longer interested to proceed with the matter.

Accordingly, C.O. No. 3128 of 1993 is dismissed for default.

There will be no order as to costs.

Interim order, if passed in connection with the instant revisional application, stands automatically vacated.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)