

Ml- 02.02.2024
21 Ct.15
rkd

CPAN 901 of 2021
In
W.P.A. 319 of 2018

Nurul Amin Gayen
-vs-
Mithu Patihar & Anr.

Ms. Pampa Dey Dhabal

....for the applicant.

Affidavit-of-service filed by the applicant is
taken on record.

Petitioner alleges violation of the order
dated 11th February, 2020.

Rule drawn
on separate
sheet.

Attention of this Court has also been drawn
to the order dated 9th October, 2020 passed by the
Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Bishnupur, Bankura
wherefrom it appears that added respondents did
not have the requisite permission to make
construction. In spite of clear finding made by the
Sub-Divisional Magistrate in the order dated 9th
October, 2020 no proceeding has been initiated in
terms of Section 23(6) of the West Bengal
Panchayat Act, 1973 which by this time ought to
have been initiated.

Let contempt Rule be issued against the
alleged contemnor No.2 and the Rule is made
returnable on **1st March, 2024.**

The learned advocate for the applicant is

directed to put in Special Messenger Cost by 6th February, 2024 for expeditious service of the Rule upon the alleged contemnor No.2.

However, pendency of this contempt application shall not preclude the alleged contemnor to comply with the order passed by the Court on 11th February, 2020.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)