GAHC010152962020

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/2012/2020

PAWAN KUMAR AGARWALA
S/O- MOHAN LAL AGARWALA AND SOLE PROPRIETOR OF M/S RADHIKA EXPRESS SERVICE
HAVING ITS OFFICE AT H.N.12
H.P. BRAHMACHARI ROAD
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI-781008
ASSAM

VERSUS

M/S G B CHOWDHURY HOLDINGS PVT. LTD. AND 4 ORS.
Address - A COMPANY REGISTERED UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT
HAVING THEIR REGISTERED OFFICE AT CHOWDHURY TILLA SARUMOTORIA
OPPOSITE DISPUR CAPITAL COMPLEX
GUWAHATI-708006
ASSAM REP. BY ITS DIRECTOR.

2:SUJATA GURUNG CHOWDHURY
DIRECTOR OF M/S G B CHOUDHURY HOLDING PVT. LTD. W/O SHRI BIJOYANANDA CHOWDHURY
R/O CHOWDHURY TILLA SARUMOTORIA
OPPOSITE DISPUR CAPITAL COMPLEX
GUWAHATI-708006
ASSAM

3:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
ELEMENTARY EDUCATION DEPPTT. DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006

4:THE ASSAM STATE TEXTBOOK PRODUCTION AND PUBLICATION CORPORATION LTD.
PANBAZAR
GUWAHATI-781001
REP. BY ITS MANAGIG DIRECTOR

5:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
ASSAM STATE TEXTBOOK PRODUCTION AND PUBLICATION CORPORATION LTD. PANBAZAR
GUWAHATI-781001

Advocate for : MR K P PATHAK
Advocate for : MR. N DEKA appearing for M/S G B CHOWDHURY HOLDINGS PVT. LTD. AND 4 ORS.: Advocate ,

,

,

, appearing for 2,

3,

4,

5, respectively.

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMAN SHYAM

04.12.2020

This interlocutory application has been filed by the applicant seeking impleadment in the connected writ petition.

Heard Mr. R Bora, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. N Deka, learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioners and Mr. PN Goswami, learned Standing Counsel, Assam State Textbook Publication, appearing for the opposite party Nos.4 and 5.

Mr. Bora, learned counsel for the applicant submits that his client is one of the tenderers in the NIT process which is under challenge in the present proceeding and therefore, he is a necessary party in this proceeding.

Mr. Deka, learned counsel for the writ petitioners submits that he has no objection if the prayer for impleadment is allowed by this Court.

In view of the above and having regard to the statements made in the application, I am of the view that the applicant should be impleaded in the connected writ petition as a respondent.

Ordered accordingly.

Registry to make necessary endorsement in the cause title of the writ petition.

The interlocutory application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant