GAHC010099172020

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3043/2020

PROBIN CH. SAIKIA @ PROBIN SAIKIA
S/O LATE PUNIRAM SAIKIA, R/O SUNDERPUR, EAST MILAN NAGAR, P.O.-C.R. BUILDING, P.S. AND DIST-DIBRUGARH, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6, ASSAM

2:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6

3:THE DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
ASSAM
DIPSUR
GUWAHATI-6
ASSAM

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DIBRUGARH
DIST-DIBRUGARH
ASSAM
PIN-786001

5:THE DIBRUGARH MUNICIPAL BOARD
REPRESENTED BY THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DIBRUGARH
DIST-DIBRUGARH
ASSAM

PIN-786001

6:THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
DIBRUGARH MUNICIPAL BOARD
DIST-DIRUGARH
ASSAM
PIN-78600

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. P J SAIKIA

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT BORTHAKUR

ORDER

04.12.2020

Heard Mr. R.S. Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. B. Doley, learned State counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner's grievance, in short, is that he retired from service as Tax Daroga of Municipal Board, Dibrugarh on 31.09.2019 on attaining the age of superannuation at 60 years. After retirement, the petitioner has not been paid the retirement benefits even after submission of representations, dated 29.10.2019, 27.11.2019 and 18.02.2020 respectively and none of the aforesaid representations has been disposed off till today.

Mr. Doley, learned State counsel appearing for the respondents, submits that as the consecutive representations filed by the petitioner have been pending with the Municipal Authority at Dibrugarh, the respondents more particularly the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 may be directed to consider the representations and dispose off the aforesaid representations by speaking order within a specific time period.

Mr. R.S. Mishra agrees to the suggestion made by Mr. B. Doley.

Having heard the learned counsel for both sides and consideration of the grievance averred in the petition along with the documents annexed therewith, this Court finds it expedient in the interest of justice to direct the respondents more particularly the respondent

Nos. 5 and 6 to consider and dispose off the representations referred to above filed by the petitioner by speaking order within a period of 4(four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order to be delivered by the petitioner.

With the above direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant