GAHC010010282014

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2705/2014

MUNNA GOORH and 12 ORS,
S/O- JOHORLAL GOORH, VILL.- ALOICHERRA, P.O.- MONIPUR BAGAN,
MONIPUR NISKAR G.P., DIST.- HAILAKANDI, ASSAM.

2: JIAB UDDIN BARBHUIYA

3: MOYNA MIA LASKAR

4: BINOY ROY

5: NOOR UDDIN BARBHUIYA

6: SAFIQUE UDDIN LASKAR

7: ABDUL SUKKUR LASKAR

8: TAPAN KUMAR DAS

9: ABUL HUSSAIN BARBHUIYA

10: KAMAL UDDIN MIA

11: SANJOY PATRA

12: NAZRUL ISLAM MAZUMDER

13: ABDUL RAHIM MI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM and 6 ORS,
REP. BY THE PRINCIPAL SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT, DISPUR, GHY- 6.

2:THE COMMISSIONER

3:THE DY. SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM

4:THE HAILAKANDI ZILLA PARISHAD

5:THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

6:THE JOINT DIRECTOR

7:THE DY. COMMISSIONE

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.S HUSSAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJIT BHUYAN

ORDER

03.12.2020

Heard Mr. M.J. Quadir, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. M. Nath, learned counsel representing respondent nos.1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. Mr. P. Nayak, learned counsel represents respondent no.6 whereas Mr. D. Nath, learned counsel appears for respondent no.7.

Mr. Quadir fairly submits that due to subsequent development in the case, the writ petition has become infructuous. It is stated that relief prayed for providing benefit of fixed pay has been granted to the petitioners, save and except the petitioner nos.8 and 11. On this, Mr. Nath submits that perhaps it was due to oversight but, in any case, process would be initiated for doing the needful in respect of the said petitioners also.

On the above, the writ petition stands disposed of as being rendered infructuous.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant