HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA

WP(C) No.648/2020

Sri Jibesh Datta.

…….. *Petitioner(s).*

Vs.

The State of Tripura and Ors.

…….. *Respondent(s).*

WP(C) No.649/2020

Smt. Aditi Datta.

…….. *Petitioner(s).*

Vs.

The State of Tripura and Anr.

…….. *Respondent(s).*

WP(C) No.650/2020

Smt. Ananya Mukherjee

…….. *Petitioner(s).*

Vs.

The State of Tripura and Anr.

…….. *Respondent(s).*

WP(C) No.651/2020

Sri Sanjoy Mitra.

…….. *Petitioner(s).*

Vs.

The State of Tripura and Anr.

…….. *Respondent(s).*

WP(C) No.652/2020

Dr. Kabir Chakraborty

…….. *Petitioner(s).*

Vs.

The State of Tripura and Anr.

…….. *Respondent(s).*

WP(C) No.653/2020

Sri Shimal Das.

…….. *Petitioner(s).*

Vs.

The State of Tripura and Ors.

…….. *Respondent(s).*

WP(C) No.654/2020

Dr. Angshuman Chakraborty.

…….. *Petitioner(s).*

Vs.

The State of Tripura and Ors.

…….. *Respondent(s).*

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. P Roy Barman, Advocate,
Mr. Samarjit Bhattacharjee, Advocate,
Mr. Kawsik Nath, Advocate.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. M Debbarma, Addl. Govt. Adv.,
Mr. D Sharma, Addl. Govt. Adv.,
Mr. K De, Addl. Govt. Adv.

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. AKIL KURESHI

_O_R_D_E_ R_

17/12/2020

All the petitioners have similar grievances. They are teaching faculties working in Tripura Institute of Technology(TIT) under the Director of Higher Education, Government of Tripura. They have been granted the benefit of higher Grade Pay from Rs.6,000/- to Rs.7,000/- in

the Pay Band of Rs.15,600-39,100/- under CAS-I from 4th September, 2014 since they possess the necessary higher qualification. However, their demand is that said scale had to be granted upon completion of 5 years of service which in their cases would be 4th September, 2013. This is the only dispute the petitioners are raising in the present group of petitions.

The petitioners had made representations to the authorities earlier. However, the authorities have not given any reply to such representations.

At this stage, let the respondent No.2, Director of Higher Education, consider these petitions as representations of the petitioners and disposed of the same by a speaking order within 3(three) months. If after such order is passed the grievances of the petitioners still survive, it would be open for them to take legal recourse.

All petitions are disposed of accordingly. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(AKIL KURESHI, CJ)

Sukehendu