Item No. 3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP(C) No. 325 of 2020
(Through Video conference)

Jankhongam Haokip & 6 Ors.

…. *Petitioners*

- Versus –

State of Manipur & 11 Ors.

.... *Respondents*

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MV MURALIDARAN

07.07.2020

[1] Heard Mr. H. Ishwarlal, learned Sr. Counsel for the petitioners and Mr. S. Nepolean, learned GA for the State respondents.

[2] The writ petitioners have filed the writ petition seeking the prayer as follows :

> *"(i) To admit the present writ petition;*
>
> *(ii) To issue rule nisi calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why prayer made by the petitioners shall not be granted in the facts and circumstances of the present petition;*
>
> *(iii) To issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari / Mandamus or any other appropriate writ/ directions/ orders, directing the respondents to quash and set-aside the impugned letter/ application dated 19.06.2020 (**at Annexure-A/ 17**) submitted by 9 (nine) members of Managing Body of Manipur State Co-operative Union (MSCU) and any further consequential notices/letters/ orders/ process/ actions, if issued, in pursuant to the said letter/ application*

dated 19.06.2020, in view of the facts and circumstances of the present petition.

(iv) If no cause is shown or insufficient cause is shown, make the rule absolute;

(vi) To call for the entire relevant records;

(vii) To pass any order/ writ/ directions which the Hon'ble Court deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the Case

-AND

***IN THE INTERIM,** pending disposal of the present writ petition, Your Lordship's may be gracious enough to pass appropriate order to stay/ suspend/ not to acted upon the interim letter/ application dated 19 / 06 / 2020 (At Annexure-A/17) and any other subsequent application submitted by the Performa Respondents and the Process/ action in furtherance of the above said letter/ application of the Government Respondents be also Stay/ Suspend to meet the ends of justice and to protect the rule of law."*

[3] When the matter came up on the last occasion, this Court directed the learned counsel for the respondents to get instructions in this regard and post the matter today.

[4] Accordingly, the matter is taken up today.

[5] It is reported by Mr. S. Nepolean, learned GA appearing for the respondents that the alleged representation dated 19.06.2020 at Annexure-A/17 which was challenged in this writ petition was withdrawn by the applicant on 04.07.2020. Therefore, Mr. S. Nepolean, learned GA represented before this Court that the writ petition itself is infructuous.

[6] Counsel for the petitioners also admitted that the representation dated 19.06.2020 at Annexure-A/17 was withdrawn by the applicant on 04.07.2020. Therefore, the writ petition itself is infructuous.

[7] Accordingly, the writ petition is closed as infructuous.

JUDGE

Sushil

WAIKHOM TONEN MEITEI Digitally signed by WAIKHOM TONEN MEITEI Date: 2020.07.07 13:24:14 +05'30'