IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL
RFA No. 1 of 2019

Smt. Laishram Damayanti Devi & 2 Ors.

……. *Appellant/s*

– Versus –

Shri Thounaojam Tarunkumar Singh & 2 Ors.

…Respondent/s

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.V. MURALIDARAN

22.01.2020

[1] In view of the order passed on 22.01.2020 by this Court in MC(RFA) No. 1 of 2019, this **RFA No. 1 of 2019 is closed**.

[2] This Court came to know that along with condonation delay petition in MC(RFA) No. 1 of 2019, the main first appeal was numbered in RFA No. 1 of 2019 by the Registry of this Court which is not proper.

[4] Therefore, this Court wants to issue suitable directions to the Registry of this Court as follows:-

(a) When an appeal cases like Cril. Appeal, Cril.Rev.Petn., Second Appeal, Civil Rev. Petn., First Appeal and Writ

Appeals have been filed by the parties along with condonation of delay, the Registry is directed to number the condonation delay petition alone and not to number the main cases.

(b) Unless the condonation delay petition is ordered, the Registry have no right to number the main cases along with the condonation of delay petition.

(c) Therefore, the Registrar (Judicial) is hereby directed to issue suitable directions to all the sections of the Registry that while numbering the condonation delay petition, Registry should not number the main cases along with condonation delay petition unless it is ordered by the Court.

[5] This should be strictly followed by the Registry.

JUDGE

-Larson