

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.276 of 2020

1. Md. Zakir Hussain Son of Md. Kasim, Resident of Mohalla-Raj Colony, P.s. Kotwali, District Gaya, presently posted as TGT Assistant Teacher in Urdu Middle School Shadipur (Town Block) Gaya.
2. Khursheed Akram, Son of Md. Azizul Hassan, Resident of Mohalla-Shadipur, P.s. Chakand, District Gaya, presently posted as TGT Assistant Teacher in Urdu Middle School Shadipur (Town Block) Gaya.
3. Sushma Kumari, Wife of Nand Kishore Prasad Shrivastav, Resident of Mohalla-Gughari Tand,, P.s. Vishnupad, District Gaya, at presently posted as Headmistress in Urdu Middle School Shadipur, Gaya.
4. Md. Arif, Son of Gulam Bari, Resident of Near Masjid Lakhno, P.S. Chakand, District-Gaya, at present posted as Headmaster in Middle School, Krit Nawad (Town Block), Gaya.
5. Nagendra Prasad, Son of Kanhai Prasad, Resident of Road No. 5, Shastri Nagar, P.S. Rampur, District-Gaya at present Asst. Teacher in Middle School, Panchu Bigha, Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
5. The District Educationi Officer cum-Chairman of District Establishment Committee, Gaya.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Subhash Chandra Mishra (Sc16)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Grievance of the petitioners in the present writ
application is discrimination in the matter promotion in pay



level 8.

With reference to Annexure-5, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that juniors to the petitioners have been granted the benefit whereas the petitioners have been discriminated.

Considering the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to examine the claim of the petitioners and if it is found that juniors to the petitioners have been granted the benefit, the petitioners must be granted such benefit.

Necessary decision in this regard with all consequential benefit may be taken within a period of sixty days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

